Case 1:11-cr-00114-SHS Document 38 Filed 05/14/13 Page 1 of 18

D49VLINT UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 V. 11 CR 114 (MGC) 5 XING LIN, 6 Defendant. JURY TRIAL 7 -----x 8 New York, N.Y. April 9, 2013 9 9:55 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. MIRIAM GOLDMAN CEDARBAUM, 12 District Judge 13 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA, United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York 17 PETER M. SKINNER JENNIFER E. BURNS 18 Assistant United States Attorneys JOEL S. COHEN 19 Attorney for Defendant 20 ALSO PRESENT: BRENDA CHEN, Fuchow Interpreter 21 DANIEL YANG, Fuchow Interpreter JESSICA CHACE, Paralegal 22 TIMOTHY VARIAN, Special Agent, HSI JIAYING WANG, Legal Assistant 23 24 25

1 (Trial resumed) (In open court; jury not present) 2 3 THE COURT: While we are waiting for a jury panel, 4 there are a few housekeeping matters that I would like to 5 resolve. 6 The extortion in this case is in interstate commerce, 7 or so it's charged. Is there any reason to talk about foreign commerce in explaining interstate commerce? Anything ought to 8 9 be claimed was -- affected commerce between a state and a 10 foreign government, a foreign country? 11 MR. SKINNER: Not with respect to the extortion in 12 this case, your Honor, no. 13 THE COURT: Well, with respect to what? 14 MR. SKINNER: There will be testimony that the 15 defendant, after the shootings in the karaoke bar, moved his gang to Toronto, Canada, and opened gambling parlors in 16 17 Toronto. THE COURT: Which charge is that in connection with? 18 MR. SKINNER: The racketeering charge, your Honor. 19 20 It's evidence both of the racketeering enterprise that 21 continued until December 2009, and it's evidence of the 22 racketeering conspiracy which charges a conspiracy to operate 23 gambling parlors and engage in an illegal enterprise.

THE COURT: You're charging operating gambling parlors

24

25

in Canada?

MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor. It's evidence that the enterprise continued to operate, as charged, until December 2009.

THE COURT: I understand.

But was the enterprise supposed -- the enterprise, when it was here, did not affect foreign commerce.

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the enterprise did tangentially affect foreign commerce in that the extortion payments continued until December of 2009. And the evidence at trial will show that the defendant was situated in Canada during that time period. The payments were made --

THE COURT: So you're saying that extortion payments were sent to the defendant in Canada?

MR. SKINNER: Yes, your Honor. That would be the inference we're arguing from the evidence.

THE COURT: You have evidence of that?

MR. SKINNER: Well, the payments were made on a monthly basis in cash to the defendant's wife as per the defendant's instructions that the payments should be made to his wife for a period of time for years before that.

THE COURT: I see. But what is the evidence that she was sending the money to him?

MR. SKINNER: It's an inference, your Honor. We don't have direct evidence.

THE COURT: Maybe he wanted her to support herself

1 with it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKINNER: He may have. He may have also wanted some of it sent up to Canada.

THE COURT: I understand. But you have no evidence one way or the other.

MR. SKINNER: No direct evidence.

THE COURT: Well, it's not matter of direct or circumstantial; that's not really circumstantial evidence that he was receiving it in Canada.

MR. SKINNER: Well, your Honor, I believe that the defendant, for a period of years, received the payments directly. And then, after he put himself in a position where he had to flee, put another person in place to collect payments. We can certainly argue that there's circumstantial evidence and an inference --

THE COURT: I don't think that's circumstantial evidence.

MR. SKINNER: That's what we intend to argue.

THE COURT: Circumstantial evidence is not that loose.

MR. SKINNER: That would be the, as I said, tangential effect on foreign commerce. And, in any event, as I explained --

THE COURT: If it were, if it were, it's a question. I'm not sure that I need to talk about foreign commerce. I'm just interested in how I'm going to explain these charges.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKINNER: Very well, your Honor. 1

THE COURT: I don't think foreign commerce is of great significance in that.

MR. SKINNER: I'm not arguing with you. But I think that there is possibly -- not possibly, there is, as I argued in argument that there was, in fact --

THE COURT: I'm not sure I'm going to permit you to call that circumstantial evidence. I'll have to hear the evidence.

MR. SKINNER: You'll hear the evidence, your Honor. And whether the jury --

THE COURT: All right. At least I know what you are thinking.

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. COHEN: Your Honor, respectfully, I would ask that until we reach the point --

THE COURT: I don't intend to charge the jury in the voir dire.

MR. COHEN: I understand.

I would just ask that the government not open on that issue until we've reached a point in the trial where the Court is in a better position to evaluate whether that evidence or argument should even be made.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think the government's going to elaborate heavily on interstate commerce in their opening.

1 Am I wrong? 2 MR. SKINNER: You're absolutely correct, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. 4 Now, you have several citations to the aiding and 5 abetting statute. But there is nothing -- there are no factual 6 statements in the indictment about aiding and abetting. They 7 are all substantive statements. I don't like to -- well, this is just really -- we'll discuss this at the charge conference; 8 9 I'm just raising it because these both relate really to the 10 charge and not to the voir dire. 11 Now, you charge overt acts in Count Five, which is a 12 conspiracy to commit extortion. And as I understand, this, 13 again, is not a matter of great opening concern. As I 14 understand it, it is unnecessary to charge overt acts in a 15 conspiracy to commit extortion. MR. SKINNER: That's correct, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: So this is what I would call surplusage. MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, it was included in the 18 indictment to provide information for the defendant to 19 20 understand the nature of the crimes charged.

THE COURT: This is like a bill of particulars in the indictment.

MR. SKINNER: To some extent, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: All right.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SKINNER: But I agree with you, the overt act

requirement is not required, and we have no issue with taking the overt acts out of the version of the indictment that is sent to the jury.

THE COURT: Good. That's sent to the jury. That's the important thing. I don't want to confuse the jury.

MR. SKINNER: We actually did not redact that out of the redacted indictment that we sent over last week, but we'll take care of that straightaway.

THE COURT: Right. As I said, these are matters I will really address in greater depth at the charge conference. But I also will not charge *Pinkerton*, which is an optional charge, because *Pinkerton* — the facts of the *Pinkerton* case are so far afield from the facts of this case, that I don't find it an appropriate source for the charge. I'm just giving you reference for the information for the future.

I think in the voir dire I will ask the jury with respect to places only, something like: You will hear during the course of the trial reference to a karaoke bar on Kissena Avenue in Queens, which is no longer there. Are any of you familiar with that bar?

That's the one place question I'm going to ask.

MR. SKINNER: That's fine, your Honor. I just note it's actually Kessina Boulevard, not Kessina Avenue.

THE COURT: Thank you. Yes. I have it written properly, I just...

```
1
               All right.
                          Now, is there anything else --
                          Your Honor, we did --
 2
               MS. BURNS:
 3
               THE COURT:
                          -- before the jury panel arrives?
 4
               MS. BURNS: We did revise the list of names just to
5
      include some witnesses that had not been on our list back in --
               THE COURT: You have added witnesses?
6
 7
               MS. BURNS:
                          We have.
                          Well, I have not received it.
 8
               THE COURT:
9
               MS. BURNS: They are included on this list here.
10
      can give a copy up to your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Very well.
12
               Now, who are all these many people?
13
               MS. BURNS: These are the names we expect. They are
14
      both witnesses and names that are going to come out in the
15
      course of the testimony, your Honor. If your Honor wants a
      separate listing of anticipated witnesses, I can provide that,
16
17
      as well.
18
               THE COURT: No, no, no. I don't need to tell the jury
19
      who the witnesses are going to be.
20
               MS. BURNS: Right. That was what I anticipate, your
21
              So it is a lengthy list, but comprehensive.
22
               THE COURT: Oh, this is a substitute, is that what
23
      you're offering?
24
               MS. BURNS:
                          Yes.
25
               THE COURT: For the list that I have already.
```

1	MS. BURNS: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. So I'll switch to this one.
3	MS. BURNS: Thank you.
4	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, I have two potential names to
5	add to the list of people that might either be witnesses or
6	about whom people will hear.
7	One is Mary Wang, and the other is Detective Keith Ng,
8	N-G.
9	THE COURT: Well, I will ask them if they recognize
10	those names or know any of those persons.
11	Just tell me again who they are.
12	MR. COHEN: Mary Wang, W-A-N-G, and retired detective
13	Keith Ng, Keith, K-E-I-T-H, N-G.
14	THE COURT: I'm slow. Mary Wang, W-A-N-G, or
15	MR. COHEN: Yes.
16	THE COURT: And?
17	MR. COHEN: Detective Retired Detective Keith,
18	K-E-I-T-H, Ng, N-G.
19	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20	MR. COHEN: Two questions, your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Yes.
22	MR. COHEN: With respect to the seating of the
23	prospective jurors, are we going to have one through six in the
24	front row or one through seven in the front row?
25	THE COURT: We're going to fill all the seats.

```
No, I understand.
1
               MR. COHEN:
                          We're going to have one through eight.
 2
               THE COURT:
 3
               Did they remove a seat from this jury box?
                          I see seven in the front row.
 4
               MR. COHEN:
 5
               THE COURT: I see a space in the jury box. We've
6
      always had eight.
 7
               MR. COHEN:
                          Okay.
8
               THE COURT: What happened to the second seat? We
9
      normally have 18 in the jury box, and the second row seems to
10
     be crowded.
11
               MR. COHEN:
                          And my other question, your Honor, was --
12
               THE COURT:
                          I don't know who's -- we should --
13
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is the way we have it, Judge.
14
               THE COURT: Seven, 14, and four is 18, yes. All
15
      right.
                          So it will be one through seven, and then
16
               MR. COHEN:
17
      eight in the --
18
               THE COURT: Correct.
19
               MR. COHEN:
                          -- further seating.
20
               THE COURT:
                          Correct.
21
               MR. COHEN:
                           Okay.
22
               THE COURT:
                          We'll go in sequence up to 18.
23
               MR. COHEN:
                           Gotcha. And, your Honor, may I just step
24
      out to the rest room before the jurors come in?
25
               THE COURT: Yes. I will question jurors who sit in
```

```
the back, as well.
1
 2
               MR. COHEN: I understand that.
 3
               And if we have 18 here, I'm assuming that the juror to
      the furthest left will be No. 19?
 4
 5
               THE COURT: We will give that juror a number, but I
6
      don't know whether I'm going to start at that end or this end.
 7
               MR. COHEN:
                           Okay.
                          But, yes, we will number each of the
8
               THE COURT:
9
      jurors in sequence.
10
               MR. COHEN:
                          Okay.
11
               THE COURT:
                          And you will hear the number.
12
               MR. COHEN:
                          And can I step out to the rest room,
13
      Judge?
14
               THE COURT: I'm sorry?
15
               MR. COHEN:
                          May I step out to the rest room?
               THE COURT: Yes, of course.
16
17
               Actually, we can take a five-minute recess, because
      I've just been advised that there is some materials being read
18
19
      to the prospective jurors, and they will be here in about,
20
      what, ten minutes? So we can take a ten-minute recess.
21
               MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.
22
               (Recess)
               THE COURT: Please be seated. I understand the jury
23
24
     panel is on their way.
```

(Pause)

THE COURT: There seems to be some confusion in the jury room, I'm sorry to say. I'm going to take a brief recess.

I'll be back.

(Recess)

(A jury of 12 and 5 alternates was impanelled and sworn)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: I'd just like to give you a few preliminary instructions before you follow Mr. Daniels into the jury room, which will be your home away from home during the course of this trial.

When you arrive in the morning, you will go to that room in the back of the courtroom and leave your clothes, if we're not yet summer, because this morning was like summer. And those who come by 9:30 will get muffins and coffee there. And you will return there to refresh yourselves during the course of the day. And in the meantime you will give

Mr. Daniels your address and telephone number so that he can keep in touch in the event that we need to.

But I just now want to tell you a few things that you should know in connection with the trial.

I'd like to give you a little background on what will happen during the trial. At the end of the trial, I will give you more detailed guidance on how you ought to go about reaching your verdict. But now I simply want to explain how

the trial will proceed.

This is a criminal case, as we all know. The defendant has been charged with the commission of federal crimes in an indictment filed by a grand jury sitting in this district. The indictment is simply a description of the charges made by the government against the defendant; it is not evidence of anything. The defendant has pleaded not guilty to the charges, and denies committing the offenses charged. The defendant is presumed to be innocent, and you may not find him guilty unless all of you unanimously find that the government has proved his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The first step in the trial will be an opening statement by the government. The government goes first because it has the burden of proof, as I've explained. In its opening statement, the government will tell you about the evidence which it intends to put before you. But just as the indictment is not evidence, neither is the opening statement evidence. Its purpose is only to help you understand what the evidence will be and what the government will try to prove. The lawyers are not witnesses. Witnesses are people with firsthand knowledge of what happened, and they will testify under oath. The lawyers are not witnesses.

After the government's opening statement, the defendant's lawyer may make an opening statement, but he is not required to do so.

The government will then offer evidence that it says will support the charges against the defendant. The government's evidence will consist of the testimony of witnesses, as well as documents and exhibits.

Some of you have probably heard the terms

"circumstantial evidence" and "direct evidence." Do not be

concerned with these terms. You hear it too much on

television, but that's not the law of the United States. You

are to consider all the evidence received during the trial.

After the government's evidence is presented, the defendant's lawyer may present evidence on the defendant's behalf, but he is not required to do so. I remind you that the defendant is presumed to be innocent, and that the government must prove the guilt of the defendant beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant does not have to prove his innocence.

After you have heard all the evidence, the government and the defendant will each be given time for their final arguments. I just told you that the opening statements by the lawyers are not evidence. The same applies to the closing statements. The closing arguments, they are not evidence either.

In their closing arguments, the lawyers, both for the government and the defendant, will attempt to summarize their cases and help you to understand the evidence that was presented. But the lawyers are not witnesses; they have no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

personal knowledge of the facts.

The final part of the trial occurs when I instruct you about the rules of law that you are to use in reaching your After hearing my instructions, you will leave the courtroom together to make your decision. Your deliberations will be secret. Nobody will know what your discussions are outside of the jury room.

During the course of the trial -- right. And your deliberations will be secret.

During the course of the trial, you should not talk with any witness or with the defendant or with any of the lawyers in the case. Please do not talk with them about any subject at all. In addition, during the course of the trial, you should not talk about the trial with anyone else: Not your family, not your friends, not the people you work with. Also, you should not even discuss the case among yourselves until you have heard all of the evidence, and I have instructed you on the law, and you have gone to the jury room to make your decision at the end of the trial. It's important that you wait until all the evidence is received and you have heard my instructions on the rules of law before you deliberate even among yourselves. Evidence comes in bit-by-bit and piece-by-piece, and it's not until you've heard it all that you are ready to deliberate.

Please do not permit any third person to discuss the

case in your presence. And if anyone does so, despite your telling that person not to, please report that fact to me as soon as you are able. You should not, however, discuss with your fellow jurors either that fact or any other fact that you feel is necessary to bring to my attention.

Let me add that during the course of the trial, you will receive all the evidence you properly may consider to decide the case. Because of this, you should not attempt to gather any information on your own which you think might be helpful. Do not engage in any outside reading on this case; do not attempt to visit any places mentioned in the case; and do not in any other way try to learn about the case outside the courtroom. The reason for these rules, as I'm certain you understand, is that your decision must be made solely on the evidence presented at the trial in this courtroom.

At times during the trial, a lawyer may make an objection to a question asked by another lawyer or to an answer by a witness. This simply means that the lawyer is requesting that I make a decision on a particular rule of law. Do not draw any conclusion from such objections or from my rulings on the objections. These only relate to the legal questions that I must determine and should not influence your thinking.

If I sustain an objection to a question, the witness may not answer it. But do not attempt to guess what the answer might have been had I allowed the question to be answered.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Similarly, if I tell you not to consider a particular statement, you should put that statement out of your mind, and you may not refer to that statement in your later deliberations.

During the course of the trial, I may ask a question of a witness. If I do so, that does not indicate that I have any opinion about the facts in the case.

Finally, let me clarify something you may wonder about later.

During the course of the trial, I may have to interrupt the proceedings to confer with the attorneys about the rules of law which should apply. Sometimes we will talk here at the sidebar, side of the bench, but some of these conferences may take time. So as a convenience to you, I may excuse you from the courtroom. I will try to avoid such interruptions as much as possible, but please be patient, even if the trial seems to be moving slowly, because conferences often save time for all of us.

Thank you all for your attention. And I will see you all tomorrow morning. And if it is at 9:30, you will receive coffee and muffins. But everybody must be in the courtroom by 10 o'clock.

Have a pleasant evening. And resist telling anybody about what you've seen and heard.

(Jury excused)

Case 1:11-cr-00114-SHS Document 38 Filed 05/14/13 Page 18 of 18

D49VLINT Preliminary Instructions THE COURT: Unless there's anything further, we will

be in recess until tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock.

MR. SKINNER: Nothing, your Honor. Thank you.

MS. BURNS: Thank you.

MR. COHEN: Thank you, your Honor.

Have a good evening.

THE COURT: You're all excused.

(Adjourned to April 10, 2013 at 10 o'clock a.m.)